

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/818,313	03/27/2001	Chii-Hwang Chang	67,200-392	1765	
7:	7590 12/17/2004		EXAM	INER	
TUNG & ASS Suite 120	TUNG & ASSOCIATES Suite 120			MOORE, KARLA A	
838 W. Long Lake Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bloomfield Hill	s, MI 48302		1763		

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/818,313	CHANG ET AL.	υ		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karla Moore	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication			SS		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ature, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  VTHS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.		
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	0 September 2004.				
l	This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is		
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 25 H.C.C. S	440(a) (d) == (0			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. 9	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		oplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pro-	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	е		
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not r	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	م داند مسلمال ۱۸۸	Imman (DTO 440)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	98) 5) 🔲 Notice of Int	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Trademark Office	6)  Other:	_ <del>.</del>			

Application/Control Number: 09/818,313

Art Unit: 1763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,122,566 to Nyugen et al.
- 4. Nyugen et al. disclose a method for operating a multi-chamber fabrication tool comprising: providing a multi-chamber fabrication tool comprising a series of chambers (Figure 1, 10; column 1 rows 22-27); defining for each chamber within the series of chambers a minimum of one fabrication process to provide a series of fabrication processes corresponding with the series of chambers, wherein (1) at least one fabrication process may be undertaken within more than one chamber (column 9, rows 29-40) and (2) at least one chamber has defined therein more than one fabrication process including the at least one process which may be undertaken within more than one chamber (column 1, rows 27-32); processing within the multi-chamber fabrication tool a substrate while employing the at least one fabrication process which may be undertaken within the more than one chamber, wherein a chamber within which is processed the substrate while employing the at least one fabrication process which may be undertaken in

Art Unit: 1763

more than one chamber is selected such as to optimize utilization of the multi-chamber fabrication tool (column 2, rows 50-53).

- 5. With respect to claim 2, the substrate is employed within a microelectronic fabrication selected from the group consisting of integrated circuit microelectronic fabrications, ceramic substrate microelectronic fabrications, solar cell optoelectronic microelectronic fabrications, sensor image array optoelectronic microelectronic fabrications and display image array optoelectronic microelectronic fabrications (column 4, rows 11-14).
- 6. With respect to claim 3, the series of chambers comprises at least about 4 chambers (see Figure 1).
- 7. With respect to claim 4, the series of fabrication processes is selected from the group consisting of vacuum etch processes, vacuum deposition processes and vacuum implantation processes (column 1, rows 22-32).
- 8. With respect to claim 5, the method further comprises defining a series of chamber constraints for the series of chambers (column 5, rows 40-59 and column 6, rows 16-26); defining a series of process constraints for the series of processes (column 5, rows 40-59 and column 5, row 60 through column 6, row 15); and defining a series of substrate constraints for the substrate (column 5, rows 40-59 and column 11, rows 23-58).
- 9. With respect to claim 6, in the method, the series of chamber constraints, the series of process constraints and the series of substrate constraints is prioritized through use of an algorithm when selecting the chamber within which is processed the substrate (see Figures 8A-8G).

# Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Nguyen et al. reference more fairly and clearly anticipate the recitations of the present claims.

Art Unit: 1763

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karla Moore whose telephone number is 571.272.1440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 571.272.1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karla Moore Art Unit 1763

1 December 2004